Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC Mainframe Data Center Operations Past Performance Questionnaire

Please complete this past performance Questionnaire and fax or email your response to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Procuement and Grants Office (PGO) on or before (date).

Centers for Disease Control, PGO ATTN: Deborah S. Fallick 2920 Brandywine Road, Suite 3000 Atlanta, GA 30341

The fax number is (770)488-2670. Please call Deborah Fallick at (770) 488-2602 if you have questions.

The above contractor is submitting a proposal to provide Information Systems Development in response to solicitation number 2000-N-00120. This contractor has identified your contract

The email address is dsf2@cdc.gov

Contractor:

| listed below as containing like or similar services as required under our RFP. Past Performance is of considerable importance to this acquisition. Therefore, input from current or previous customers of the offeror is extremely important. |
|---|
| Contract Information: |
| a. Program Name: |
| b. Contract Number: |
| c. Contract Type: |
| d. Period of Performance: |
| e. Dollar Value: |
| f. Contract Description: |
| TO BE COMPLETED BY REFERENCE |
| 1. Name of person completing this questionnaire |
| 2. Signature of person completing this questionnaire |
| 3. Your role with respect to the contractor (e.g., Contracting Officer/Contract Manager, COR/COTR, Program Manager, etc.) |
| 4. Length of time in the role (in years and months) |

| 5. Agency/Compa | .ny |
|--|---|
| 6 . Telephone with | area code |
| 7. Fax Number wi | th area code |
| 8. Date questionna | aire completed |
| Systems systems Systems Systems success Data Masou Infrastru data Other Please answer eacl | Envered Under the Contract: (Check all that apply) S Development (planning, developing, operating, and supporting information tems) S Integration (integrating legacy systems or developing systems or products in as middleware). An anagement (developing systems to automate collection of data from diverse reces, developing data standards, data warehouses, etc.) Butture Support (planning, operating, and supporting IT infrastructure such as abase, application, messaging, file, and print servers, etc.) In of the following questions based on the ratings below. For each of the is, provide a rating of: |
| Excellent: | Performance consistently exceeds contract requirements, customer expectations and provides significant, unique or worthwhile features or benefits. |
| Good: | Performance meets and occasionally exceeds contract requirements and customer expectations. Demonstrated an understanding of the requirements, provided an acceptable management and technical approach and provided complete responses to customer needs. |
| Satisfactory: | Meets the performance level of the contract. |
| Marginal: | Usually meets the contract performance level, however at a marginal level performance could have been improved and occasionally fell short of customer expectations. |
| Unsatisfactory: | Performance did not meet customer expectations or contract requirements. |

Please provide narrative explanations for any marginal or unsatisfactory ratings. Space for your narrative remarks is provided on the last page of the questionnaire. If more space is needed, use the back of the questionnaire. Please circle (or <u>underline</u> for e-mail responses) your rating or state N/A if you are unable to provide a rating for an area.

| A. MANAGEMEN | <u>r</u> | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. How effective was | s the Contractor | r in assuming duties du | uring the phase-in/trans | sition period? |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 2. How would you rathe work required?. | ate the Contract | tor's labor force in term | ns of overall qualificati | ions to perform |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 3. Evaluate the Contr filling vacancies in the | | | y trained and skilled po | ersonnel and |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 4. How effective was the Contractor in managing all phases of multi-tasks or projects? | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 5. How responsive and reasonable was the Contractor with regard to negotiating changes and modifications? | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 6. Evaluate the Cont | ractor's cost rep | porting and estimating | system. | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 7. What is the respon | nsiveness and q | uality of Contractor re | ports and documentation | on? |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 8. How would you rate the Contractor in assuming total responsibility for a task or project? | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 9. How competent we | ere key personn | nel? | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |
| 10. How cooperative | were key perso | onnel with Governmen | nt/company personnel? | |

| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| 11. How supportive was corporate management to the Government /company personnel? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 12. How effective was the Contractor in assuming duties during the phase-out period? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 13. Has any major co Contractor's inability | - | | r rescheduled as a resulte ease explain.) | It of the | | |
| B. TECHNICAL E | <u>XPERTISE</u> | | | | | |
| 1. Evaluate the Contractor's performance in providing technical solutions for work requirements which arose unexpectedly during the course of ongoing work. | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 2. How creative was the Contractor in providing technical solutions for problems that arose? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 3. How would you rate the Contractor's expertise in the area of Information Systems and Programming Support? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 4. How would you rate the Contractor's overall technical performance and technical expertise? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| 5. To what degree of effectiveness was the Contractor able to demonstrate the ability to hear, communicate, understand, track and identify users problems? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |
| C. SUBCONTRACTING AND/OR TEAMING ARRANGEMENTS SUPPORT CAPABILITY | | | | | | |
| 1. Overall how would you rate subcontractor/teaming support? | | | | | | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | | |

| 2. How well did the subcontractors? | Contractor | exercise coordinating | , integrating, and man | naging control over the | |
|---|--------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | |
| 3. Was the Contractor willing to add subcontractors during the course of the contract who had expertise in the needed discipline unavailable from current team members? Yes or No | | | | | |
| • | | s accomplished in a tir the work being done. | nely manner so as no | t to negatively impact | |
| Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Marginal | Unsatisfactory | |
| name, organization | and telephon | recommend we send ne number. | | lese provide their | |
| E. Would you recon | mmend awar | rd of a similar contrac | t to this Contractor? | If no, please explain. | |
| | | Yes or No | | | |
| F. COMMENTS C | OR REMAR | RKS | | | |
| | | | | | |

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING AND PROMPTLY RETURNING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.